

Dilemmas in Emergency Communication Policy

Copyright © 2002 by Peter M. Sandman

(Based on work done for the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention)

For each of the ten dilemmas that follow, I lean toward the first of the two poles. That is, I prefer candor to secrecy, speculation to refusal to speculate, etc. But these are *dilemmas*, not questions with obvious answers. There is truth on both sides. You want to wind up somewhere in the middle. Note, however, that all the pressure and all your intuitions are going to push you toward the second pole. So aim for the other extreme (my extreme) in order to reach the middle.

1. Candor versus secrecy
 2. Speculation versus refusal to speculate
 3. Tentativeness versus confidence
 4. Being alarming versus being reassuring
 5. Being human versus being professional
 6. Being apologetic versus being defensive
 7. Decentralization versus centralization
 8. Democracy and individual control versus expert decision-making
 9. Planning for denial and misery versus planning for panic
 10. Erring on the side of caution versus taking chances
-
-

For more about my take on this issue, see:

- Anthrax, Bioterrorism, and Risk Communication: Guidelines for Action (Dec 2001) -- www.psandman.com/col/part1.htm
 - Planning for Bioterrorism Communication (Sep 2002) -- www.health.state.mn.us/oep/docs/sandman091202.pdf
 - Dilemmas in Emergency Communication Policy (Feb 2003) -- www.psandman.com/articles/dilemmas.pdf
 - "Fear Is Spreading Faster than SARS" -- And So It Should! (Apr 2003) -- www.psandman.com/col/SARS-1.htm
 - Crisis Communication: A Very Quick Introduction (April 2004) -- www.psandman.com/col/crisis.htm
-
-

Peter M. Sandman, Ph.D.

59 Ridgeview Road
Princeton NJ 08540-7601

Email: peter@psandman.com
Web: www.psandman.com

Phone: 1-609-683-4073
Fax: 1-609-683-0566

Consulting, Training, and Research in Risk Communication