## Is This a Good Risk Comparison?

Copyright © 1993 by Peter M. Sandman

- 1. Are you really sure of the data about both risks in the comparison?
- 2. Is the comparison risk lower in outrage than the risk under discussion? Is it, for example, natural, voluntary, or familiar?
- 3. Are you really trying to make the size of the risk clear, or are you trying to "show up" opponents? (For example, are you making any comparisons to risks *smaller* than the one under discussion?)
- If you were on the receiving end of this risk comparison for a risk that concerned you 4. would you find the comparison useful or irritating?
- 5. Does your comparison seem to be trying to preempt the decision about the acceptability of the risk? Are you acknowledging that risk acceptability is not a technical question?
- Is your comparison "homey," snide, or slightly humorous rolls of toilet paper stretching 6. around the world, etc.?
- 7. Is your comparison likely to seem self-serving? If so, have you acknowledged that you have a stake in convincing people?
- 8. What is the relationship between you and your audience? How sensitive is the situation, and how cautious do you have to be in choosing a comparison?
- 9. On balance, do you suspect in advance that your the audience may reject or resent this comparison? Is your goal to be "right," or is it to communicate effectively?

## For more about my take on this issue, see:

- Explaining Risk to Non-Experts: a communications challenge (Oct-Dec 1987) www.psandman.com/articles/nonexpt.htm
  Risk Communication, Risk Statistics, & Risk Comparisons: A Manual for Plant Managers (1988) www.psandman.com/articles/cma-0.htm
- Quantitative Risk Communication: Explaining the Data (1994) http://www.vimeo.com/20676915
- Figuring out how risky it is to fly your own airplane -- the pesky "denominator problem" (Aug 2011) -http://www.psandman.com/gst2011.htm#denominator